PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

I To:			7	D 0 =
То:				PCT
see for	m PCT/ISA/220		WRIT INTERNATIO	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHOF
			. (1	PCT Rule 43bis.1)
			Date of mailing	
			_	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's f see form PCT/ISA			FOR FURTHER A	
International application PCT/IN2004/00000		International filing date (d	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
International Patent Cla	ssification (IPC) or t	ooth national classification	and IPC	<u> </u>
C01B33/40, C01B3	33/44			
Applicant	IEMION O OOD	505.5		
INDIAN FETROCE	EMICALS COR	PORATION LIMITED	,	
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V	Basis of the opi Priority Non-establishm Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cita	ent of opinion with regar invention ment under Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 tions and explanations s	d to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial ment
☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume	•		
☐ Box No. VIII☐ Box No. VIII		n the international applic		
		ions on the international	application	
FURTHER ACTI	ON			
the applicant cho	oses an Authority	other than this one to b	Authority ("IPEA"). Ho	sually be considered to be a wever, this does not apply where nosen IPEA has notifed the onal Searching Authority
	date of mailing of I			EA, the applicant is invited to s, before the expiration of three 22 months from the priority date,
For further options	s, see Form PCT/I	SA/220.		
For further details,	see notes to For	m PCT/ISA/220.		
·			•	
•			· ·	
	\$ 0.00	<u> </u>	2	•

Werner, H

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8571



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

10/582471 AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTQ 12 JUN 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application PCT/IN2004/000009

Box No. I Basis of the opinion	
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international applied the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 	 catio
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international secondar Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	∍ folk arch
With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	and
a. type of material:	
a sequence listing	
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing	
b. format of material:	
in written format	
☐ in computer readable form	
c. time of filing/furnishing:	
☐ contained in the international application as filed.	
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	g the onal
Additional comments	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application I PCT/IN2004/000009

1. ☑ The following documer	it nas not been furnish	ed:
	lier application whose	priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)
translation of th	e earlier application w	hose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 6
Consequently it has no	t heen possible to see	sider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion h otion that the relevant date is the claimed priority da
2. 🔲 This opinion has been e	established as if no pri	ority had been claimed due to the fact that the prior
3. Additional observations, if ne		•
·		
Box No. V Reasoned sta	tement under Dule 4	
Box No. V Reasoned star	tement under Rule 4:	3bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step
industrial applicability; cita	tement under Rule 4: itions and explanatio	3 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step
Box No. V Reasoned star industrial applicability; cital Statement	tement under Rule 4: itions and explanatio	3.bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step ons supporting such statement
industrial applicability; cita	and explanation	ns supporting such statement
Industrial applicability; cital Statement	Yes: Claims	6,7,10,13,24,25,31
Industrial applicability; cital Statement	and explanation	ns supporting such statement
industrial applicability; cita Statement	Yes: Claims No: Claims	6,7,10,13,24,25,31
Industrial applicability; cital Statement Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims No: Claims Yes: Claims	6,7,10,13,24,25,31 1-5,8,9,11,12,14-23,26-30,32-42
industrial applicability; cital Statement Novelty (N) Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims No: Claims Yes: Claims	6,7,10,13,24,25,31
industrial applicability; cital Statement Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims No: Claims Yes: Claims	6,7,10,13,24,25,31 1-5,8,9,11,12,14-23,26-30,32-42
Industrial applicability; cital Statement Novelty (N) Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims No: Claims Yes: Claims No: Claims	6,7,10,13,24,25,31 1-5,8,9,11,12,14-23,26-30,32-42

10/582471

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE P20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 12 JUN 2006 ternational application No INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING **AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)** PCT/IN2004/00000!

SECTION V

Novelty and inventive step (Art 33(2) and (3) PCT) 1.

1.1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: EP 0 846 660 A

D2: PARK J H ET AL: "The relationship between nano- and micro-structures and mechanical properties in PMMA-epoxy-nanoclay composites" POLYMER, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS B.V, GB, vol. 44, no. 7, March 2003 (2003-03), pages 2091-2100, XP004412485 ISSN: 0032-3861

D3: EP 0 747 451 A D4: EP 0 909 787 A

- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14-23, 26, 27, 29, 30 and 32-42 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.1. Document D1 discloses (in particular: page 5, lines 10-25; page 5, line 40 page 6, line 19; page 8, lines 3-10 and 22-31; page 9, line 31 - page 17, line 2) nanocomposites comprising a layered silicate such as smectite or bentonite and an intercalation material such as an C10+ alcohol, glycerol, a sorbitol, soybean oils or industrial rubbers (gums). The amount of organic compound to layered clay should preferably be 1:5 to 1:3. The layered material can be exfoliated by mixing and/or extruding. The nanocomposite further comprises a thermoplastic polymer preferably including 10-100 recurring monomer units. Therefore, the subject-matter of claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14-22, 26, 27, 29, 30 and 32-42 is not new vis-a-vis D1.
- 2.2. The subject-matter of claims 1-3, 11, 17-21, 29 and 35-42 is not new vis-a-vis the disclosure of document D2 (in particular: pages 2093-2095).
- 2.3. The subject-matter of claims 1-4, 9, 11, 12, 16-22, 27, 29, 30, 34 and 37-42 is not new vis-a-vis the disclosure of document D3 (in particular: page 13, line 26 - page 14, line 4; example 1; claims 1, 5, 14, 20 and 24).
- 2.4. The subject-matter of claims 1-3, 11, 12, 16-21, 29, 30 and 34-42 is not new vis-a-

vis the disclosure of document D4 (in particular: paragraphs [0002]; [0006]; [0008] and [0074]).

2.5. Dependent claims 6, 7, 10, 13, 24, 25, 28 and 31 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT) because: Document D1 discloses the use of different carbohydrates as intercalation materials. Claims 6, 7, 13, 24, 25 and 31 differs from the disclosure in document D1 in that further types of carbohydrates are claimed. This difference, however, does not seem to represent anything unusual for the person skilled in the art. Furthermore, using the carbohydrates claimed in claims 6, 7, 13, 24, 25 and 31 does not seem be associated with any special technical effect that would justify inventiveness.

Using an alcoholic carrier liquid instead of an aqueous does not seem to represent anything unusual for the person skilled in the art. Furthermore, this does not seem be associated with any special technical effect that would justify inventiveness.